1 2 3	VICTOR M. PÉREZ, ESQ. #114381 THE PÉREZ LAW FIRM 1304 W. Center Avenue Visalia, CA 93291 Telephone: (559) 625-2626 Facsimile: (559) 625-3064	
<ul><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	Attorney for Defendant MIGUEL CALVILLO AGUSTIN	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No. 1:23-CR-00232-JAM-BAM
11	Plaintiff	) STIPULATION REGARDING ) EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER
12	VS.	) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; AND ORDER
13	MIGUEL CALVILLO AGUSTIN	) )
14	Defendant.	) )
15		) )
16		Ú
17	STIPULATION	
18		
19		
20		
21	stipulate as follows:	
22	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on April 9, 2025.	
23	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to vacate the status conference and continue	
24	the Status Conference to October 22, 2025, and time is excluded between April 9, 2025, and	
25	October 22, 2025 under 18 U.S.C. ' 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].	
26	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
27	a) Counsel for defendant desire additional time to consult with their clients, review	
28	the voluminous discovery, conduct in	dependent investigation, prepare for trial, and pursue

a potential pretrial resolution of the case.

- b) Counsel for defendant believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - c) The government does not object to the continuance.
- d) The parties will be prepared to set a trial date at the next status conference if this matter remains unresolved.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. '3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of 4/09/2025 to 10/30/2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.' 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant=s request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

///

20 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
2	
3	Date: April 4, 2025 STEPHANIE STOKMAN
4	United States Attorney
5	
6	/s/ STEPHANIE STOKMAN
7	STEPHANIE STOKMAN Assistant United States Attorney
8	
9	VICTOR M. PEREZ
10	THE PEREZ LAW FIRM
11	/s/ VICTOR M. PEREZ
12	VICTOR M. PEREZ Counsel for Defendant,
13 14	MIGUEL CALVILLO AGUSTIN
15	
16	<u>ORDER</u>
17	The request for a continuance is DENIED. The parties shall appear and select a mutually
18	
19	convenient trial date. Alternatively, the parties may stipulate to the trial date, with an appropriate
20	exclusion of time. Any such stipulation shall be submitted not later than the close of business on
21	April 7, 2025.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	Dated: April 4, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	
26	
27	
28	